

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | 1                     | ILING DATE  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO.        |  |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/980,487      | 09/980,487 12/03/2001 |             | Horst Mueller        | 1454.1118              | 5604                    |  |
| 21171           | 7590                  | 12/08/2004  |                      | EXAMINER               |                         |  |
| STAAS &         | HALSE                 | Y LLP       | DUONG, DUC T         |                        |                         |  |
| SUITE 700       |                       | VENUE, N.W. |                      | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER            |  |
| WASHING         |                       |             |                      | 2663                   |                         |  |
|                 |                       |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 12/08/200 | DATE MAILED: 12/08/2004 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |    |
|---|--|--|----|
|   | 09/980,487   | MUELLER, HORST   |    |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |    |
|   | Duc T. Duong   | 2663   |    |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap<br>Period for Reply   | pears on the cover she   | et with the correspondence address   |    |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing. | 136(a). In no event, however, moly within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6) te, cause the application to become | ay a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |    |
| earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status   | ,  |  |    |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16  | July 2004 .  |  |    |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Ti  | his action is non-final.   |  |    |
| Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims   |  |  |    |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-26</u> is/are pending in the applicati   | on   |  |    |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra  |  |  |    |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-25</u> is/are allowed.   |  |  |    |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-19 and 26</u> is/are rejected.   |  |  |    |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  | •  |    |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  | or election requirement  |  |    |
| Application Papers  |  |  |    |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine   | er.  |  |    |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce   | epted or b) objected to  | by the Examiner.   |    |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the   | = : :  | •  |    |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on  | _ , ,,   | disapproved by the Examiner.   |    |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in re  | • •  |  |    |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | xamıner.   |  |    |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |  |  |    |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  | in priority under 35 U.S   | .C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |    |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:   |  |  |    |
| 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen   |  |  |    |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documen   |  |  |    |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>   | reau (PCT Rule 17.2(   | a)).   |    |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest  | tic priority under 35 U.S  | c.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  | ·• |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes   |  |  |    |
| Attachment(s)   | <del>-</del>   | •  |    |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  | 5) Notic   | iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |    |

Application/Control Number: 09/980,487 Page 2

Art Unit: 2663

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 14-16 and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 14 and 18 recites the limitation "the data words" in lines 9 and 8, respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Application/Control Number: 09/980,487 Page 3

Art Unit: 2663

4. Claims 17 and 26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Russell et al (U.S. Patent 6,548,118).

Regarding to claims 17 and 26, Russell discloses a system for recovering encoded 8B/9B signals inserted into STM-N frames of synchronous digital hierarchy, comprising a mapper 400 (first demultiplexer) to form at least one first signal sequence (Fig. 4 and 8 col. 8 lines 39-45); a demapper 401, coupled to said first demultiplexer, to form an 8B/9B signal (col. 11 lines 18-25) having a reduced data rate (Fig. 4 col. 7 lines 31-38); a second demultiplexer (implicitly shown), coupled to said demapper, to form data words and associated monitoring information (Fig. 8 col. 8 lines 45-52); and an encoder (implicitly shown), coupled to said second demultiplexer, to form an Ethernet signal (col. 8 lines 52-54).

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 14-16 and 18-19 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 6. Claims 20-25 are allowed.

# Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed July 12, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding to Applicant's argument on page 7 with respect to claims 17 and 26, Russell fails to teach the ability to recover an encoded 8B/9B signals. In response, on Fig. 12 col. 12 lines 6-20, Russell discloses of such limitation, wherein a 9B signal is converted into an 8B signal.

Application/Control Number: 09/980,487

Art Unit: 2663

#### Conclusion

Page 4

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc T. Duong whose telephone number is 571-272-3122. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (9:00 AM-6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau T. Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DD QQ CHAU NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINAL TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600